#### SEMANTICS-DRIVEN MIDDLEWARE LAYER FOR BUILDING OPERATION ANALYSIS IN LARGE-SCALE ENVIRONMENTS

Adam Kučera, Tomáš Pitner

LAB OF SOFTWARE ARCHITECTURES AND INFORMATION SYSTEMS

FACULTY OF INFORMATICS MASARYK UNIVERSITY



### Outline

#### Introduction

- Facility management
- Information systems in facility management

#### Motivation and Goals

- Use case: University campus of Masaryk University
- Problem: Automation data analysis
- Method: Automation data semantics and querying
- Results, Summary, Conclusions



### Facility Management

- According to IFMA (International Facility management association): *"a profession that encompasses multiple disciplines to ensure functionality of the built environment by integrating people, place, process and technology*"
- FM ensures tasks, which are not part of organization's "core business"





## IS in Facility Management





## **BIM – Building Information Model**

 Digital representation of a building







Source: Authors

## CAFM – Computer-Aided Facility Mgmt

- CAFM software supports:
  - Space management
  - Maintenance
  - Energy management
- Provides advanced analytical tools











Source: Archibus, Inc.

## Smart buildings

- Devices in buildings connected to a network
  - Heaters
  - Air conditioning units (HVAC)
  - Lighting
  - Energy meters
  - ...
- Monitored and controlled remotely



Modern (Households & SOHO/IoT)

- "We have cheap computers, can we use them to control appliances?"
- Origins in ICT

Traditional (Large sites)

- "We have lot of devices in a building, can we facilitate the management?"
- Origins in civil engineering
  & electronics engineering



Households & SOHO/ IoT

- Examples:
  - Arduino
  - .NET Gadgeteer
  - Energomonitor
  - Nest/Google thermostat
- Relatively cheap

#### Large sites

- Technologies
  - Building Automation Systems
  - Building Management Systems
- Expensive
- Long device lifetime
- Compliance to standards



Households & SOHO/IoT

- Devices using:
  - Operating system
  - Wi-Fi
  - HTTP
  - Web services
  - Cloud
  - M2M, Internet of Things
- Controlled by
  - Web interface
  - Smart phones

#### Large sites

- Devices using
  - Microcontrollers
  - Serial bus (RS232,RS485), Ethernet, TCP/IP
  - Specialized automation protocols
- Controlled by
  - Dedicated desktop applications
  - Web interface



#### Households & SOHO/IoT



- ARM Cortex A8
- 40 MB flash

#### Large sites





Source: Delta Controls, Inc.

- CPU 25 MHz
- 128 kB RAM
- 1 MB flash



## Smart buildings – BAS & BMS

- **BAS** = Building Automation System
- **BMS** = Building Management System
- Used mostly at large sites
- Ensures automated operation of building technologies:
  - HVAC
  - Lighting
  - Safety & Security systems (Fire alarm, Access control)
  - Elevators
  - Energy monitoring



## Smart buildings – BAS & BMS

- Remote monitoring and control
- Integration of different systems
- User interface
- Alarming
- Archiving
- Regulation algorithms
- Scheduling
- Cooperation



#### BMS – PLCs

- **PLC** = Programmable logical controller
- Specialized computer for automation
- Provides various types of input and outputs
  - Analog inputs –e.g. temperature, humidity, pressure sensors
  - Analog output e.g. valve opening
  - Digital (discrete) inputs e.g. motion sensor
  - Digital (discrete) outputs e.g. fan speed, relay control
- Programmable by specialized tools & languages



### BMS – PLCs



Source: OFM SUKB MU



Source: siemens.com



l**ās**aris



Source: Authors

### BMS-UI





Source: OFM SUKB MU



### BMS-UI



Source: OFM SUKB MU





#### Motivation – Use case

- Goal: Examining building operation performance and efficiency using BMS data
- Use case: BMS of Masaryk University (40 buildings, 150 000 data points)





Source: muni.cz

### Motivation – Analytical capabilities



## BMS vs. Big Data

- Volume does not apply
  - 150 000 data points, Up to 10GB of useful data/year
- Velocity does not apply
  - Polling frequency: minutes
  - Change of Value (e. g. 1°C)
- Variety does apply (partially)
  - Structured data
  - Undifferentiated data types (Temperature, Humidity, Setpoint,...)
- Variability & Veracity do not apply
  - Data are consistent, credible and of high quality



## Problem – Complexity

- Application development tasks:
  - Data access (automation protocols, OLTP)
  - Data selection, grouping & aggregation
  - Analytical methods
  - User Interface



## Problem – Unsuitable semantics

- Data points identified by network address in BMS
  - BACnet protocol: 25104.Al101
- Data point properties carry **limited semantics**:
  - Object type (Analog/Binary/..., Input/Output/Variable/...)
  - Engineering units
- **Missing relation** to the physical world:
  - Location
  - Source device
  - Measuring environment (air, water,...)



### Aims & Methods – New semantics

- New approach to analysis of BMS data
  - Network addresses are not used as identifiers
  - Universal model relates BMS and BIM and also adds new information



## Aims & Methods – Ontology

- New semantics of BMS data can be described by Ontology language
- **OWL** Web Ontology Language (W<sub>3</sub>C)
  - Designed for Semantic web & Linked Data
  - Based on RDF (Resource Definition Framework)
  - "Subject-Predicate-Object"





## Aims & Methods – Existing ontologies

- Upper ontologies describe general concepts accross domains (not used in our use case)
- Semantic Sensor Network ontology unsuitable
  - Uses upper ontology as a base
  - Complicated querying
  - Focuses on different concepts
    - SSN: Relation between observation and obtained value
    - BMS: Relation between source device and value, description of measured value



## Aims & Methods – Ontology



Source: Muhammad Asfand-e-yar, FI MU



# Aims & Methods – Ontology querying

 Ontology repositories can be queried using specialized query languages (SPARQL)

| Query   |       |          |
|---|-------|----------|
| Select ?De ?Ot ?Oi Where { ?idnet1 Abstract:isCharacterizedBy ?Ot. ?idnet1 Abstract:isConnectedWith ?Oi. ?idnet1 Abstract:isCompriseOf ?De    |       |          |
| {Select ?idnet1 Where {?idnet1 Abstract:hasMeaningOf ?idQua1.   |       |          |
| { Select ?idQua1  |       |          |
| Where {?idQua1 Abstract:hasPhyQuantity Abstract:PhT. ?idQua2 Abstract:isCheckedWith Abstract:AtK.   |       |          |
| ?idQua3 Abstract.isMeasuredIn Abstract:EnA. ?idQua4 Abstract:hasSomeMore Abstract:Fu14.   |       |          |
| FILTER (?idQua1 = ?idQua2 && ?idQua2 = ?idQua3 && ?idQua3 = ?idQua4)}}.   |       |          |
| ?idnet2 Abstract:isGettingDataFrom ?idBim1.   |       |          |
| { Select ?idBim1 Where {?idBim1 Abstract:hasSpecific Abstract:Ro001a. ?idBim2 Abstract:hasParticular Abstract:FIN01. FILTER (?idBim1 = ?idBir | n2)}} | ž –      |
| ?idnet3 Abstract:isRepresentedAs Abstract:OpPresentInputValue.  |       | <u> </u> |
| FILTER(?idnet1 = ?idnet2 && ?idnet1 = ?idnet3)}}.}  |       |          |
|   |       |          |
|   |       |          |
| SPARQL  |       |          |

Source: Muhammad Asfand-e-yar, FI MU



## Aims & Methods – Ontology tools

- **Protégé** Open source ontology editor
- Apache Jena Open Source ontology framework
  - OWL/RDF Java API
  - SPARQL engine
  - TDB Native (noSQL) persistent triplestore
  - Fuseki standalone RESTful web server





Source: http://protegewiki.stanford.edu/

### Aims & Methods – APIs

- Simplification of application development & integration
- Data access APIs
- Semantic API
  - Encapsulating OWL & SPARQL
  - Domain-specific operators aggregation, grouping & filtering according to:
    - Location
    - Source device
    - Meaning
    - ...
  - Ready-to-use **functions** for frequent queries



## Aims & Methods – Middleware layer



## **Query examples**

#### 1. Semantic query

Location: *Campus Bohunice; Building* A11 Grouping: *Per floor* Measured value: *Room temperature* Source device: *Temperature sensor* Data type: *Historical data* Desired output: *Network address* 

#### 3. Data query

Data points: Semantic result data Aggregate: temporal AVG Period: 09/2014 – 1/2015 Aggregation Window: 1 day



#### 2. Semantic result

No1: {11400.TL5, 11500.TL5, 11600.TL1} No2: {12100.TL5, 12300.TL3, 12400.TL5} No3: {12500.TL1, 12600.TL1, 12800.TL1}

#### 4. Data result

No1: { {2014-09-01, 23.8}, {2014-09-02, 24.8}, {2014-09-03, 25.1}, {2014-09-04, 24.7}, ... No2: { ... } No3: { ... }



### **Query examples**

#### 1. Semantic query

Data type: Input; Output; User defined value Influenced value: Room temperature Influenced location: Room 231 at building UCB-A11 Desired output: {Source device (with Location); Network address; Data type; Meaning (quantity) }

#### 3. Data query

Data points: *Semantic result data* Aggregate: - (*present value*)

#### 4. Data result

{ Pump in UCB-A11-1S05; ON } { TS in UCB-A11-1S05, 76,5 °C } { AC in UCB-A11-1S07, 22 °C }

asa

#### 2. Semantic result

{Pump in UCB-A11-1S05, 10200.AO1, Output, Pump mode (on/off) } {Temperature sensor in UCB-A11-1S05, 10200.AI5, Input, Water temperature } {Application controller in UCB-A11-1S07, 10000.AV4, User defined value, Setpoint temperature }

## Results

- Architecture design
- End-user applications
- Data access API
- Semantic model







Source: Authors, Petr Zvoníček, FI MU

## Summary & Conclusion

 Area: Building operation analysis using data from automation systems

#### • Aims:

- Provide new semantics to BMS data
- Simplify development of analytical tools
- Method: Middleware layer
  - Semantic information Integrating BMS and BIM
  - Data access
- Evaluation: Implementation of benchmarks defined in EN 15 221: Facility Management